## BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	No. D-3293
LAWRENCE R. WINN, M.D. Certificate No. A0-8690,	
Respondent.	) ) )

## DECISION

The attached Stipulation is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective on March 28, 1986

IT IS SO ORDERED February 27, 1986

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

MILLER MEDEARIS Secretary-Treasurer

1	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California CONSTANCE M. BARTON	
3	Deputy Attorney General 1515 K Street, Suite 511	
4	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 324-5363	
5	Attorneys for Complainant	
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE	
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) No. D-3293	
12	Against:	
13	LAWRENCE R. WINN, M.D. )  3051 Fulton Avenue ) STIPULATION, DECISION  Sacramento, California 95821 ) AND ORDER	
14	)	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's ) Certificate No. AO-8690 )	
16	Respondent. )	
17	,	
18	It is hereby stipulated by the parties to the above-	
19	entitled matter as follows:	
20	1. Accusation No. D-3293 has been filed and served upon	
21	Lawrence R. Winn, M.D. (hereinafter "Respondent") by certified	
22	mail and Respondent filed a timely Notice of Defense requesting a	
23	hearing on the charges. Said accusation is incorporated herein	
24	by reference as though fully set forth.	
25	2. Respondent has fully discussed with his counsel,	
26	Richard K. Turner, the charges and allegations of violations	

27 alleged in the accusation and has been fully advised of his

rights under the Administrative Procedures Act, including his right to a formal hearing and an opportunity to defend against the charges contained therein, reconsideration, and appeal from any adverse decision which might be rendered following the hearing. Respondent knowingly and intelligently waives all of said rights.

- 3. Respondent admits the allegations contained in Accusation No. D-3293 and that his physician's and surgeon's certificate is subject to discipline pursuant to Sections 2220 and 2227 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter the "Code") in that he has violated Sections 2234(a), 2234(e), 2236(a), 490, 2242(a), and 2264 of the Code and Section 2238 of the Code in conjunction with Section 11154 of the Health and Safety Code. The particulars are as follows:
- a. (1) On or about September 12, 1984, in a proceeding entitled People v. Lawrence Ray Winn, M.D., in the Municipal Court, County of Sacramento, Case No. 70404F, following a plea of nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of violating Section 119(e) of the Business and Professions Code, permitting unlawful use of a licensed issued to him.
- (2) The conviction was substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon in that the conviction resulted from facts arising out of Respondent's operation of a weight control clinic with his son,
- b. Respondent employed, aided and abetted his son, SER. W., to engage in the practice of medicine and treat

2.

- c. The foregoing acts by Respondent were acts of dishonesty or corruption substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- d. (1) On January 4, 1984, Respondent wrote a triplicate prescription at the request of Respondent's son,

  Standard W. for Preludin, 25 mg., #25, a Schedule II dangerous drug, for C. P. which prescription was subsequently mailed to Ms. P.
- (2) At the time that Ms. Preceived the prescription for Preludin, she was not under Respondent's treatment for a pathology or condition.
- 4. The foregoing admission is made for the purpose of this stipulation only, and in the event this stipulation is not adopted by the Board of Medical Quality Assurance, the admission made herein shall be null, void and inadmissible in any proceeding involving the parties to it.

WHEREFORE, it is stipulated that the Board of Medical Quality Assurance may issue the following order:

1. Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. AO-8690 issued to Respondent Lawrence R. Winn, M.D., is hereby revoked; however, the execution of said order of revocation shall be stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five years upon the following terms and conditions:

3.

- a. As part of probation, Respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 90 days beginning with the effective date of this decision.
- b. Respondent shall not prescribe, administer, dispense, order, or possess any controlled substances as defined by the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, except for those drugs listed in Schedules III, IV and V of the Act.

Respondent shall immediately surrender Respondent's current DEA permit to the Drug Enforcement Administration for cancellation and reapply for a new DEA permit limited to those schedules authorized by this order.

- c. Orders forbidding Respondent from personal use or possession of controlled substances or dangerous drugs do not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to Respondent for a bona fide illness or condition by another practitioner.
- d. Within 90 days of the effective date of this decision, and on annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Division for its prior approval an educational program or courses related to medical therapeutics and general medicine, which shall not be less that 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in

satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division.

- e. Within 60 days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical examination in family practice to be administered by the Division or its designee. If Respondent fails this examination, Respondent must wait three months between examinations, except that after three failures Respondent must wait one year to take each necessary examination thereafter. The Division shall pay the cost of the first examination and Respondent shall pay the costs of any subsequent examinations. Respondent shall not practice medicine until Respondent has passed this examination and has been so notified by the Division in writing.
- f. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- g. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- h. Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- i. Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- j. In the event Respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State, Respondent must notify

1	in writing the Division of the dates of departure and return.
2	Periods of residence or practice outside California will not
3	apply to the reduction of this probationary period.
4	k. Upon successful completion of probation,
5	Respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
6	1. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the
7	Division, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to
8	be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary
9	order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke
10	probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the
11	Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is
12	final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the
13	matter is final.
	100001 10 11-00
14	
14	DATED: Lawrence Thempal
14 15	
14 15 16	DATED:
14 15 16 17	DATED:  DATED:  Cal rece a hambel LAWRENCE R. WINN, M.D. Respondent  Outstanding  ACM June  DATED:
14 15 16 17 18	DATED:  AAWRENCE R. WINN, M.D.  Respondent
14 15 16 17 18	DATED:  DATED:  Can Result Manufull  LAWRENCE R. WINN, M.D.  Respondent  RESPONDENT  RICHARD K. TURNER
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DATED:    Cantan hampe     Awrence R. Winn, M.D.     Respondent   Richard K. Turner     Attorney for Respondent   John K. Van De Kamp, Attorney
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DATED:    Can read them had have had have hear had have here had have here had have here had
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DATED:    Can remain   Campa   Campa
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DATED:  DATED:  Can Respondent  AAWRENCE R. WINN, M.D. Respondent  RICHARD K. TURNER Attorney for Respondent

ı	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California CONSTANCE M. BARTON	
3	Deputy Attorney General 1515 K Street, Suite 511	
4	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 324-5363	
5	Attorneys for Complainant	
6		
7	BEFORE THE	
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) No. D-3293	
12	Against: )  LAWRENCE R. WINN. M.D. ) ACCUSATION	
13	3051 Fulton Avenue )	
14	Sacramento, California ) 95821	
15	Physician's and Surgeon's ) Certificate No. AO-8690 )	
16	Respondent. )	
17	)	
18		
19	Complainant, Kenneth J. Wagstaff, alleges:	
20	I.	
21	He is the Executive Director of the Board of Medical	
22	Quality Assurance of the State of California (hereinafter referred	
23	to as the "Board") and makes and files this Accusation in his	
24	official capacity and not otherwise.	
25	II.	
26	On April 12, 1940, Lawrence R. Winn (hereinafter	
27	referred to as "respondent") was issued Physician's and Surgeon's	
	II	

1.

Certificate No. AO-8690 by the Board and at all times herein mentioned respondent was, and now is, licensed to practice medicine and surgery in the State of California.

III.

Under sections 2220 and 2227 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter referred to as the "Code"), the Division of Medical Quality may take action against all persons guilty of violating the Medical Practices Act, and may suspend or revoke any certificate issued.

IV.

Section 2234 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct and that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

\* \* \*

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician or surgeon."

V.

2.

Section 2264 provides that the employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of any unlicensed person or any suspended, revoked, or unlicensed practitioner to engage

in the practice of medicine or any other mode of treating the sick or afflicted which requires a license to practice constitutes unprofessional conduct.

VI.

Section 119(e) of the Code provides that any person who permits any unlawful use of a license or certificate issued to him, which is regulated by the Code, is guilty of a misdemeanor.

VII.

Section 490 of the Code provides that a license may be suspended or revoked if the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

VIII.

Section 2236(a) of the Code provides that the conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of the Medical Practices Act.

IX.

Section 11154 of the Health and Safety Code provides that except in the regular practice of his profession, no person shall knowingly prescribe, dispense, or furnish a controlled substance to or for any person or animal not under his treatment for a pathology or condition other than addiction to a controlled substance.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RT PAPER

Section 2238 of the Code provides that a violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating narcotics, dangerous drugs, or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct.

XT.

Section 2242(a) provides that prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in section 4211 without faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct.

XII.

"Preludin", also known as "phenmetrazine hydrochloride", is a dangerous drug within the meaning of section 4211 of the Code, and is listed as a Schedule II controlled substance in section 11055(d)(2) of the Health and Safety Code and in 21 C.F.R. 1308.12(d)(3) of the Federal Controlled Substances Act.

XIII.

Respondent is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 2234(a) of the Code within the meaning of sections 2236(a) and 490 of the Code. The particulars are as follows:

On or about September 12, 1984, in a proceeding entitled People vs. Lawrence Ray Winn, M.D., in the Municipal Court, County of Sacramento, Case No. 70404F, following a plea of nolo contendere, respondent was convicted of violating section 119(e) of the Business and Professions Code, permitting unlawful use of a license issued to him.

B. The conviction was substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon in that the conviction resulted from facts arising out of respondent's operation of a weight control clinic with his son,

XIV.

Respondent is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 2234(a) of the Code within the meaning of section 2264 in that he was employing, aiding and abetting his son, Shank R. What, to engage in the practice of medicine and treat respondent's patients when respondent knew that his son was not a licensed practitioner.

.VX

Respondent is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 2234(e) in that he has committed acts of dishonesty or corruption substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon as alleged in Paragraphs XIII and XIV above, and which are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

XVI.

Respondent is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 2234(a) of the Code within the meaning of section 2238 in conjunction with section 11154 of the Health and Safety Code. The particulars are as follows:

1. On January 4, 1984, respondent wrote a triplicate prescription at the request of respondent's son, S W , for Preludin, 25 mg, #25, a Schedule II dangerous drug, for



which prescription was subsequently mailed

At the time that Ms. Person received the prescription for Preludin, she was not under respondent's treatment for a pathology or condition.

IIVX.

Respondent is subject to discipline for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 2234(a) of the code within the meaning of section 2242(a) in that respondent prescribed Preludin, a Schedule II dangerous drug, to Ms. P. as alleged in Paragraph XVI above, without a good faith prior examination and medical indication.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Board hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein, and following a hearing issue a decision:

- To revoke or suspend respondent's Certificate No. Ao-8690.
- To take such other and further action as the Board 2. deems necessary and proper.

January 14, 1985 DATED:

> ve Director KENNETH J. Assurance Board of Medical Quality

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

26 03573110-

24

25

SA84AD0639 27